

Date: April 30, 2015
Subject: Request to speak with CTA
ATTN: Carlos Ramos or his secretary

California Technology Agency c/o California Department of Technology
1325 J Street, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-9223

For attorneys: Nothing in this letter is confidential. In fact, this letter has been posted publicly.

Cc: John H. Perrott, State Bar 213080
4516 Bucknall Road (unconfirmed, pending verification)
San Jose, CA 95130

Dear Carlos Ramos (or secretary for related agencies):

Kindly direct this letter to the appropriate admin assistant. I'll provide such additional information as the assistant requires.

I'm writing because the California Technology Agency accessed particular websites today in tandem with accesses by a particular attorney, John Perrott, or by people with whom the attorney in question is associated.

I'm interested, for reasons that will be explained, in the State-level accesses and would like to discuss them with the appropriate parties.

Mr. Perrott or his people accessed the sites late this morning in their time-zone. Two minutes later, the CTA initiated access. Accesses went back and forth between the two visitors for a few minutes. It's my feeling that the accesses are related.

The context is unusual and worth a minute to explain.

I'm an adult survivor of child abuse who is now approaching 60 years of age. In 2012, my abuser and one brother initiated legal actions against me intended to prevent me from "discussing" past abuse with third parties and from working on a book that they feared would mention the abuse.

The word "discussing" is in a proposed Gag Order that has been posted on the sites your people visited today. My abuser was disconcerted that the Gag Order was posted and demanded a takedown. For what it's worth, I'm #10 now in some Google searches related to the law that he tried to use to get the takedown.

The putative cause of action was fear of physical violence. However, I was in a wheelchair at the time, lived 200 miles from my abuser and 3,000 miles from my brother, and was not even asked not to make phone calls. A cursory review of the legal actions will show that the legal actions were not simply abuse of process, but abuse of process of such a degree that they are moderately embarrassing for the attorneys involved.

My abuser and my brother committed multiple felonies in their attempts to stop the book. I won that part but I lost my life savings and my home of 25 years. This is where John Perrott, San Jose Family Law attorney, enters the picture.

I was not lawfully served in the Gag Order cases and learned of them only days before Court. There was no time to find a real attorney. I settled for one who makes a practice of defrauding clients, a practice that he has a specific name for. He refers to it as “client management”.

It should be noted the attorney in question, John Perrott, works for an alleged sexual harasser, Tom Stutzman, who has been disciplined by the State Bar in connection with inappropriate actions related to a female client.

Mr. Perrott made me do much of the non pro-forma work in the Gag Order Cases. But somehow, even though we never went to trial and he did not even attend my deposition, my bills ended up 50% higher than his estimate for everything including the deposition and the trial.

This was due in part to prosecutable crimes that John Perrott is hereby asserted, publicly, to have committed, including, but not limited to, the crime of fraud.

I asked Mr. Perrott to prepare a Motion to Limit Scope for me. It was supposed to cost \$150. Instead, he billed me \$3,000 to \$5,000 for a document that he said would persuade the Court to have my legal fees paid in advance by my abuser. But when I asked John Perrott about the fees, close to Court, he seemed to have forgotten that he had ever talked about them.

The Court was not impressed with the document and admonished Mr. Perrott for submitting it.

I'm autistic and can perceive details better than you can; if I remember to do so, which is not as easy as you might think. When John received the admonishment, I had the distinct impression that he was about to wet his pants.

Subsequently, John Perrott told me that Court had been a rousing success. When I questioned this, he said that I was “depressive” :-)

Another issue of some significance is possible collaboration by Mr. Perrott and Opposing Counsel with the intent to defraud parties to the Gag Order cases out of thousands of dollars. John Perrott is aware that I possess a copy of a letter which suggests that such a collaboration existed. He knows, as well, that I intend to post the letter online and distribute it directly to activist groups and law enforcement for years to come.

As a related point, Mr. Perrott is withholding records that I am entitled to as he fears that they may send him to jail. Or embarrass him. Attorneys know that they are almost never prosecuted. But embarrassment is real to them.

John is not pleased that I call attention, on a regular basis, to his failure to turn over the records in question.

It is a letter on that precise subject that the California Technology Agency reviewed today.

John Perrott, Family Law attorney, and his sex-offender boss, Tom Stutzman of Thomas Chase Stutzman, San Jose, have tried before to take down websites that discuss these issues. As have my abuser and my brother. In the course of these attempts, these parties have committed or become involved in multiple felonies.

But each prosecutable crime that they commit gets them in deeper. I guess you could describe it as a “Tar Baby” situation.

The heart of the problem, for these people, is that there is little they or other parties who choose to become involved can do to prevent me long-term from legitimate and reasonable communications with third parties.

To be clear, **any** third parties, subject to limits that are well below what these people would prefer. I have a right to research the factors that led these people to commit prosecutable crimes, to seek out third parties who can provide information related to such factors, and to write about the crimes that were committed for years to come.

There is a great deal that wealthy attorneys and wealthy abusers can do in the short term. But long term? Against somebody who intends to spend the next two decades on research intended to promote positive social goals such as reducing abuse of women, abuse of children, and abuse of process?

For more information related to the arguably protected purposes involved, feel free to visit the sites again.

So, who did John Perrott contact at the California Technology Agency, who at the CTA reviewed the current sites, and what is this about?

It's possible that Mr. Perrott has committed additional prosecutable crimes in this context. I'm interested in documenting such crimes.

Let's talk.

Regards, Robert

P.S. to various reporters:

I'm writing to the California Technology Agency regarding an unusual matter. May I send you or other interested parties copies of the current letter and follow-up correspondence? If so, send me the appropriate addresses.